

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_
10/518,037	12/10/2004	Kim Brogarrd Wiese	1175/73567/DSD	3595	
75	90 09/28/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Cooper & Dur	Cooper & Dunham 1185 Avenue of the Americas		POPOVICS, ROBERT J		
1185 Avenue of					
New York, NY	10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1724		

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/518,037	WIESE, KIM BRO	GARRD			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert J. Popovics	1724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	fress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		merits is			
Dienociti	ion of Claims	n panto quayio, 1000 o.b. 11, 10	.0 0.0. 210.				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·				
Applicati	on Papers						
10)□	<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date March 14, 2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/518,037 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Calloni (US 4,734,207) and Swanson (US 5,679,251). Calloni discloses the purification of oils. Calloni does not expressly disclose the use of a filtering media comprising organic fibers and carbon particles. The use of both organic (e.g., cellulose or paper) and carbon filters to purify oils is very well known. **Swanson** discloses an oil filter employing organic and carbon media – see the abstract. It would have been readily apparant to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the filter of Swanson in the system of Calloni in order to remove contaminants from the oil being processed. It is well known in the art to employ a vacuum to remove volatiles from liquids. Calloni acknowledges the need to degas at column 1, line 64-68. Accordingly. use of a vacuum degassing step to remove volatiles would have been obvious. At column 2, lines 55-60, cooling of the oil is taught. The balance of the claim limitations are submitted to be met by the system of the references as combined above, if not expressly, then inherently, or alternatively, they would have been obvious in view of that which is conventionally known in the art. With respect to claims 3-4, the use of plural filters in series to perfom prefiltration is notoriously well known in the art. The skilled artisan is credited with the intelligence neccessary to select filters commensurate with the particulate challenge encountered.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,037
Art Unit: 1724

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims **18-34** and **37** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear how Applicants intend apparatus claim 18 to further limit process claim 1 from which it depends.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert J. Popovics at telephone number (571) 272-1164.

Robert James Popovics Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724